In the following neutral "flavored" meson systems (K⁰, D⁰, B⁰, B_s⁰) in which particle and anti-particle are distinguished by the flavor quantum number will be discussed in a general way: P⁰ and \overline{P}^{0} .

3.1 Flavor- and CP-eigenstates

A generic neutral flavored meson system P^0 and $\overline{P^0}$ is described by:

$${\cal F} \left| {\cal P}^0 \right
angle = + \left| {\cal P}^0
ight
angle$$
 and ${\cal F} \left| ar{{\cal P}}^0
ight
angle = - \left| ar{{\cal P}}^0
ight
angle$ F = Flavor number operator

CP conjugation transforms particle into anti-particle but introduces in general a phase η_{CP} :

$$CP|P^{0}\rangle = \eta_{CP}|\bar{P}^{0}\rangle \qquad CP|\bar{P}^{0}\rangle = \eta_{CP}^{*}|P^{0}\rangle$$

Double application of the CP operator results into the original state.

(via decays to CP eigenstates) As these states mix, they cannot be the physical/mass eigenstates. The physical states which propagate in time are mixtures of the flavor states.

If CP is conserved in mixing*) the physical states are CP eigenstates:

$$P_{\substack{1\\+}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\left| P^{0} \right\rangle + \left| \overline{P}^{0} \right\rangle \right) \qquad P_{\substack{2\\-}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\left| P^{0} \right\rangle - \left| \overline{P}^{0} \right\rangle \right)$$

with $CP \left| P_{1} \right\rangle = + \left| P_{1} \right\rangle \qquad CP \left| P_{2} \right\rangle = - \left| P_{2} \right\rangle$

if the phase η_{CP} is chosen to be +1 (convention).

*) we will discuss this condition later.

3.2 Effective Lagrangian and the physical states

In the most general case the time dependence of a physical state can be described by the flavor states using coeff. p(t) and q(t) in the following way:

$$\psi(t) = p(t) \left| P^0 \right\rangle + q(t) \left| \overline{P}^0 \right\rangle$$

 ψ (t) should fulfill the Schrödinger-Eq. with the non-hermitian effective Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} (non-hermitian, because ψ decays outside the (P⁰, $\overline{P^0}$) subspace)*):

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}\psi(t) = \mathcal{H}\psi(t)$$

One usually splits \mathcal{H} which consists of a flavor conserving part \mathcal{H}_0 and a weak flavor-violating part \mathcal{H}_w ($\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_w$) into a hermitian and anti-hermitian part:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{M} - \frac{i}{2}\mathbf{\Gamma}$$

Where both M (mass matrix) and Γ (decay matrix) are hermitian.

 *) V.F. Weisskopf and E.P. Wigner, Z. Phys. 63 (1930) 54; 65 (1930) 18; T. D. Lee, R. Oehme and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 106 (1957) 340. With the representation $|\psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix}$ one can write \mathcal{H} as 2-dim. matrix:

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{11} & M_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12} \\ M_{21} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{21} & M_{22} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma & M_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12} \\ M_{12}^* - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}^* & m - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H & H_{12} \\ H_{21} & H \end{pmatrix}$$

Hermiticity of $ M$ and $ \Gamma $:	$M_{12} = M_{21}^*$	$\Gamma_{12} = \Gamma_{21}^*$
CPT conservation:	$M_{11} = M_{22} \equiv m$	$\Gamma_{11}=\Gamma_{22}\equiv\Gamma$

- States P⁰ and $\overline{P^0}$ are eigenstates of $\mathcal{H}_0 \to no$ mixing, no decay \mathcal{H}_0 therefore contributes only to diag(M)
- M_{12} dispersive part of the $P^0 \leftrightarrow \overline{P}^0$ transition describes mixing via virtual intermediate states (off-shell parts of the mixing diagrams)
- Γ_{12} absorptive part of the $P^0 \leftrightarrow \overline{P}^0$ transition describes mixing via real intermediate states (on-shell part of the mixing diagrams)
- Γ_{11} , Γ_{22} describe the decay to real final states

Mixing phenomenology



The physical states $|P_a\rangle$ and $|P_b\rangle$ are obtained by diagonalizing the matrix **H** \rightarrow eigenvalues λ_a , λ_b and eigenstates $P_{a,b}$:

$$\mathcal{H}\left|P_{a,b}\right\rangle = \lambda_{a,b}\left|P_{a,b}\right\rangle$$

with

$$\lambda_{a,b} = H \pm \sqrt{H_{12}H_{21}}$$

 $\lambda_{a,b} = m_{a,b} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{a,b}$

where $m_{a,b}$ are the masses and $\Gamma_{a,b}$ are the decay widths of P_a, P_b :

$$\left|P_{a,b}(t)\right\rangle = e^{-im_{a,b}t} e^{-\Gamma_{a,b}\frac{t}{2}} \left|P_{a,b}(t=0)\right\rangle$$

The physical states (P_a, P_b) are usually labeled (indexed) by the property which distinguish them best:

- lifetime for kaons: K_S and K_L (short and long)
- mases for the B mesons: B_H and B_L (heavy and light)
- CP values for D mesons: D₁ and D₂ (assuming no direct CPV)

Neutral Mesons: physical states



The physical states can be written as:

$$|P_{a}\rangle = p |P^{0}\rangle + q |\overline{P}^{0}\rangle$$
 with $|p|^{2} + |q|^{2} = 1$
$$|P_{b}\rangle = p |P^{0}\rangle - q |\overline{P}^{0}\rangle$$

(we used here CPT invariance from which follows: $q_a/p_a = q_b/p_b \equiv q/p$)

For B mesons:

 $ig| B_L ig
angle = p ig| B^0 ig
angle + q ig| \overline{B}^0 ig
angle$ $ig| B_H ig
angle = p ig| B^0 ig
angle - q ig| \overline{B}^0 ig
angle$

One further defines the following quantities for (B_H, B_L) :

 $\Delta m = m_H - m_L \qquad \Delta \Gamma = \Gamma_L - \Gamma_H \qquad \text{(convention for B} \rightarrow \Delta \Gamma > 0)$ $m = \frac{1}{2} (m_H + m_L) \qquad \Gamma = \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma_H + \Gamma_L)$

For q/p one finds:

$$\frac{q}{p} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{H_{21}}{H_{12}}} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{M_{12}^* - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}^*}{M_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}}}$$

The sign \pm of q/p determines whether m_a or m_b is heavier: The usual choice is $\Delta m > 0$: q/p > 0 \Leftrightarrow "+" sign

Attention: this choice is not fixing the sign of $\Delta\Gamma$

(experiment has to tell whether $\Delta\Gamma < / > 0$, i.e. whether the CP even/odd state lives longer.)

Remark:

While P⁰ and $\overline{P^0}$ as well as P₁ and P₂ (as defined above) are orthogonal, P_a and P_b are in general not orthogonal:

$$\xi = \left\langle P_{a} \left| P_{b} \right\rangle = \left| p \right|^{2} - \left| q \right|^{2} \neq 0$$

If CP symmetry is conserved and P_a and P_b are CP eigenstates: $|q/p| = 1 \rightarrow \xi = 0$ (states are orthogonal)

Observables Δm and $\Delta \Gamma$ (for experts)

$$m = \frac{1}{2} (m_H + m_L) \qquad \Gamma = \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma_H + \Gamma_L)$$

$$\boldsymbol{m} = \boldsymbol{M}_{11} = \boldsymbol{M}_{22} \qquad \boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{22}$$

<u>Mass difference:</u> dispersive part of the box-diagrams

$$\Delta m = m_H - m_L \qquad \Delta m = 2\Re\left(\sqrt{H_{12}H_{21}}\right)$$
$$\approx 2\left|M_{12}\right| \left(1 - \frac{1}{8} \frac{\left|\Gamma_{12}\right|^2}{\left|M_{12}\right|^2} \sin^2 \phi_{\Gamma/M} + \dots\right) \qquad \phi_{\Gamma/M} = \arg\left(-\frac{M_{12}}{\Gamma_{12}}\right)$$

Remark:
In the Standard Model $|\Gamma_{12}|$
 $|M_{12}|$ for the B_d and the B_s system is small (O(5×10-3)):
(\Leftrightarrow dispersive "off-shell"
contributions dominate in mixing)

 $\rm M_{12}\,describes$ the virtual part of the box-diagrams \rightarrow very sensitive to new effects

Decay rate difference: absorptive part of the box-diagrams

$$\Delta \Gamma = \Gamma_L - \Gamma_H \qquad \Delta \Gamma = -4\Im\left(\sqrt{H_{12}H_{21}}\right)$$
$$\approx 2\left|\Gamma_{12}\right|\cos\phi_{\Gamma/M}\left(1 - \frac{1}{8}\frac{\left|\Gamma_{12}\right|^2}{\left|M_{12}\right|^2}\sin^2\phi_{\Gamma/M} + \dots\right)$$

Remark: In the Standard Model $\phi_{\Gamma/M}$ for the B_d and the B_s system is close to zero:

 $\cos \phi_{\Gamma/M} \approx 1$

Related to the on-shell part of the box-diagrams w/ internal u and c-quarks.

 \rightarrow CKM favored tree-level decays w/ almost no new physics contributions. Only way to affect $\Delta\Gamma$ is the phase $\phi_{\Gamma/M}$.

As the phase is close to zero, new physics can only lower the value of $\Delta\Gamma$ w/r to SM. Γ_{12} not affected by new "virtual" effects (on-shell effects only).

3.3 Time-evolution of flavor states

Physical states: (ignore the norm)

$$ig| P_{a}
ight
angle = p ig| P^{0}
ight
angle + q ig| \overline{P}^{0}
ight
angle \ ig| P_{b}
ight
angle = p ig| P^{0}
ight
angle - q ig| \overline{P}^{0}
ight
angle$$

(Depending on the property which distinguish the states best one could call them $P_{H,L}$, $P_{L,S}$ or $P_{1,2}$)

For the flavor states one obtains correspondingly:

$$\left| \boldsymbol{P}^{0} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2p} \left[\left| \boldsymbol{P}_{a} \right\rangle + \left| \boldsymbol{P}_{b} \right\rangle \right]$$
$$\left| \boldsymbol{\bar{P}}^{0} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2q} \left[\left| \boldsymbol{P}_{a} \right\rangle - \left| \boldsymbol{P}_{b} \right\rangle \right]$$

Using the time-dependence of $|P_a|$ and $|P_b|$ as above:

$$\left|P_{a,b}(t)\right\rangle = e^{-im_{a,b}t} e^{-\Gamma_{a,b}\frac{t}{2}} \left|P_{a,b}(t=0)\right\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| P^{0}(t) \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{2\rho} \left\{ e^{-im_{a}t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{a}t} \left| P_{a}(0) \right\rangle + e^{-im_{b}t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{b}t} \left| P_{b}(0) \right\rangle \right\} \\ &= \rho \left| P^{0} \right\rangle + q \left| \overline{P}^{0} \right\rangle \qquad = \rho \left| P^{0} \right\rangle - q \left| \overline{P}^{0} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$
$$= g_{+}(t) \left| P^{0} \right\rangle \qquad + \quad \frac{q}{\rho} g_{-}(t) \left| \overline{P}^{0} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\left|\overline{P}^{0}(t)\right\rangle = g_{+}(t)\left|\overline{P}^{0}\right\rangle + \frac{p}{q}g_{-}(t)\left|P^{0}\right\rangle$$

with

$$g_{+}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-im_{a}t - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{a}t} + e^{-im_{b}t - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{b}t} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} e^{-imt} \left(e^{-\frac{i}{2}\Delta mt - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{a}t} + e^{+\frac{i}{2}\Delta mt - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{b}t} \right)$$

$$\Delta m = m_{a} - m_{b}$$

$$g_{-}(t) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-imt} \left(e^{-\frac{i}{2}\Delta mt - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{a}t} - e^{+\frac{i}{2}\Delta mt - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{b}t} \right)$$

Starting from a pure sample of P^0 mesons (e.g. unambiguously produced in strong interaction) the probability to measure the flavor state P^0 at time t is given by:

$$\left|\left\langle \overline{P}^{0} \left| P^{0}(t) \right\rangle\right|^{2} = \left|g_{-}(t)\right|^{2} \cdot \left|\frac{q}{p}\right|^{2}$$
and correspondingly for a pure \overline{P}^{0} sample at t=0:
$$\left|\left\langle P^{0} \left| \overline{P}^{0}(t) \right\rangle\right|^{2} = \left|g_{-}(t)\right|^{2} \cdot \left|\frac{p}{q}\right|^{2}$$

$$\mathcal{P}(P^{0} \to \overline{P}^{0}) \neq \mathcal{P}(\overline{P}^{0} \to P^{0})$$

With the above expression for $g_{\pm}(t)$ one finds:

$$g_{\pm}(t)\Big|^{2} = \frac{1}{4} \Big(\underbrace{e^{-\Gamma_{a}t} + e^{-\Gamma_{b}t} \pm e^{-\Gamma t} \left(e^{-i\Delta mt} + e^{+i\Delta mt} \right)}_{\Box} \Big)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} e^{-\Gamma t} \Big(\cosh\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\Gamma t\right) \pm \cos\left(\Delta mt\right) \Big)$$

For $\Delta \Gamma \approx 0$ (as e.g. in B_d mesons) and $|p/q| \approx 1$ (CPV in mixing very small):

$$\operatorname{Prob}\left(P_{t=0}^{0} \to P^{0}\right)(t) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\Gamma t} \left(1 + \cos\left(\Delta m t\right)\right)$$
$$\operatorname{Prob}\left(P_{t=0}^{0} \to \overline{P}^{0}\right)(t) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\Gamma t} \left(1 - \cos\left(\Delta m t\right)\right)$$



What did we learn?

- P° and P^{0} two state system, Flavor states not equal to mass states.
- Effective time dependent Schrödinger equation to get mass staes

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \psi(t) = \mathcal{H} \psi(t) \qquad \mathcal{H} = \mathbf{M} - \frac{i}{2} \Gamma \qquad \text{(non-hermitian Hamiltonian)}$$
$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} m - \frac{i}{2} \Gamma & M_{12} - \frac{i}{2} \Gamma_{12} \\ M_{12}^* - \frac{i}{2} \Gamma_{12}^* & m - \frac{i}{2} \Gamma \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} M_{12} \\ Off \text{-shell weak} \\ box-diagrams \\ P^0 \\ Off \text{-shell real states} \\ P^0 \\ Off \text{-shell real states} \\ P^0 \\ \Gamma_{12} \\ \Gamma_{13} \\ \Gamma_{12} \\ \Gamma_{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| P^{0}(t) \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{2\rho} \left\{ e^{-im_{a}t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{a}t} \left| P_{a}(0) \right\rangle + e^{-im_{b}t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{b}t} \left| P_{b}(0) \right\rangle \right\} \\ &= g_{+}(t) \left| P^{0} \right\rangle + \frac{q}{\rho} g_{-}(t) \left| \overline{P}^{0} \right\rangle \\ \left| \overline{P}^{0} \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{2q} \left[\left| P_{a} \right\rangle - \left| P_{b} \right\rangle \right] \\ &\left| \overline{P}^{0}(t) \right\rangle = g_{+}(t) \left| \overline{P}^{0} \right\rangle + \frac{p}{q} g_{-}(t) \left| P^{0} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$





3.4 Standard Model prediction

B-mixing contribution from on-shell terms (decay to common states X) is small and H_{12} (H_{21}) are dominated by the off-shell box-diagrams:



Integral over the possible internal loop momentum k

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M} &\sim \int dk \ V_{tb} V_{td}^* \Pi_t \cdot V_{tb} V_{td}^* \Pi_t \\ &+ V_{cb} V_{cd}^* \Pi_c \cdot V_{tb} V_{td}^* \Pi_t \\ &+ V_{ub} V_{ud}^* \Pi_u \cdot V_{tb} V_{td}^* \Pi_t \\ &+ V_{tb} V_{td}^* \Pi_t \cdot V_{cb} V_{cd}^* \Pi_c \\ &+ V_{tb} V_{td}^* \Pi_t \cdot V_{ub} V_{ud}^* \Pi_u \\ &+ V_{cb} V_{cd}^* \Pi_c \cdot V_{cb} V_{cd}^* \Pi_c \\ &+ V_{ub} V_{ud}^* \Pi_c \cdot V_{ub} V_{ud}^* \Pi_u \\ &+ V_{cb} V_{cd}^* \Pi_c \cdot V_{ub} V_{ud}^* \Pi_u \\ &+ V_{ub} V_{ud}^* \Pi_u \cdot V_{cb} V_{cd}^* \Pi_c \end{split}$$

Quark propagator:

$$\Pi_q(k) \sim \frac{\gamma_\mu k^\mu + m_q}{k^2 - m^2}$$

(all other factors are the same for all diagrams)

Since m_u , $m_c \ll m_t$ we can treat m_u , $m_c \approx 0$. Use trick as for FCNC calculations :

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M} &\sim V_{tb} V_{td}^* \Pi_t \cdot V_{tb} V_{td}^* \Pi_t \\ &+ (V_{cb} V_{cd}^* + V_{ub} V_{ud}^*) \Pi_0 \cdot V_{tb} V_{td}^* \Pi_t \\ &+ V_{tb} V_{td}^* \Pi_t \cdot (V_{cb} V_{cd}^* + V_{ub} V_{ud}^*) \Pi_0 \\ &+ (V_{cb} V_{cd}^* + V_{ub} V_{ud}^*) \Pi_0 \cdot (V_{cb} V_{cd}^* + V_{ub} V_{ud}^*) \Pi_0 \end{split}$$

Exploit the unitarity of CKM matrix:

$$\mathcal{M} \sim (V_{td}V_{tb}^*)^2 [\Pi_t \Pi_t - \Pi_0 \Pi_t - \Pi_t \Pi_0 + \Pi_0 \Pi_0]$$

Effect of inner-quark propagators are described by the Inami-Lim function

$$S\left(\frac{m_q^2}{M_W^2}\right) \sim \frac{m_q^2}{M_W^2} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad S\left(\frac{m_t^2}{M_W^2}\right) \approx 2.5$$
$$S\left(\frac{m_c^2}{M_W^2}\right) \approx 3.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

 \Longrightarrow

For B-mixing, top quark is dominating the box-diagram (GIM).

Inami-Lim function
$$S(x) = x \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{9}{4} \frac{1}{1-x} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{(1-x)^2} \right] - \frac{3}{2} \left[\frac{x}{1-x} \right]^3 \ln x$$
 58

If one concludes the calculation one obtains for the M_{12} part of the Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{split} M_{12} &\approx \frac{G_F^2 M_W^2}{12\pi^2} \eta_{\text{QCD}} B_B f_B^2 m_B S\left(m_t^2 / M_W^2\right) \left| V_{td} V_{tb}^* \right|^2 \\ & \text{Perturbative} \left| B_B \text{Bag-parameter} \right| B_B \text{Bag-parameter} \right| Describe the non-perturbative effects of the bound quarks} \\ & \text{Remark: for neutral B mesons there exists reliable calculations of the hadronization effects.} \\ & \text{For neutral D and K mesons more difficult.} \\ & \text{and with } \Delta m \approx 2 \left| M_{12} \right| \text{ one can calculate the mixing frequency.} \\ & \Delta m_g^{\text{SM}} = 0.543 \pm 0.091 \text{ ps}^{-1} \\ & \Delta m_s^{\text{SM}} = 17.3 \pm 2.6 \text{ ps}^{-1} \\ & \text{exp.: } \Delta m_s \approx 17.77 \text{ ps}^{-1} \end{split}$$

• Difference is effect of the CKM elements: $B_s : \sim |V_{ts}V_{tb}^*|^2$

$$\Delta m_{s} / \Delta m_{s} \sim \left| V_{ts} \right|^{2} / \left| V_{td} \right|^{2} \approx \left(\frac{0.0404}{0.0087} \right)^{2}$$



Measurement of mixing:



$$P(B^{0} \to B^{0}) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\Gamma t} \left(\cosh\left(\frac{\Delta\Gamma}{2}t\right) + \cos\Delta mt\right)$$
$$P(B^{0} \to \overline{B^{0}}) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\Gamma t} \left(\cosh\left(\frac{\Delta\Gamma}{2}t\right) - \cos\Delta mt\right)$$



For neutral Kaons:

$$\left| V_{cd} V_{cs}^{*} \right|^{2} \gg \left| V_{td} V_{ts}^{*} \right|^{2}$$

$$\sim \lambda^{2} \qquad \sim \lambda^{10}$$

$$\sim 2.7 \cdot 10^{-2} \qquad \sim 1.1 \cdot 10^{-7}$$

$$\Box \gg M_{12} \sim S(m_c^2/M_W^2) |V_{cd}V_{cs}^*|^2$$

(everything else as above)

Experimentally: $\Delta m_{\kappa} \approx 5.29 \cdot 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{ps}^{-1} = 5.29 \cdot \mathrm{ns}^{-1}$

Very slow mixing: visible only because of the very long life-times of the K_s and K_l

Remark:

Large contribution from real intermediate states is modifying Γ_{12} and thus Δm_{K} - difficult to calculate.

For D-mesons: (up-type quark system)

Mass of the most heaviest internal quark (d-type: b-quark) is not large enough to compensate the large CKM suppression $\sim |V_{ub}V_{cb}^*|^2$

As a result, the light s-quark dominates the short range mixing:

$$\Delta m_D \sim \left| V_{us} V_{cs}^* \right|^2 S\left(m_s^2 / M_W^2 \right) \sim \lambda^2 \cdot m_s^2 / M_W^2$$

exp.: $\Delta m_D \approx 0.0024 \, \mathrm{ps}^{-1}$

Mixing parameters of the neutral D-meson are very small (very slow mixing): most of the D mesons decay before they mix (lifetime of D mesons ~1 ps is much shorter than the one of neutral Kaons).

D mixing was observed with high significance by LHCb – interpretation is difficult.



Figure 3.3: If one starts with a pure P^0 -meson beam the probability to observe a P^0 or a \overline{P}^0 -meson at time t is shown, $\operatorname{Prob}(t) = \frac{e^{-\Gamma t}}{2} \left(\cosh \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Gamma t \pm \cos \Delta m t \right).$

Lecture note "CP Violation", P. Kooijman & N. Tuning