

experimental determination of anisotropy of gap of ³He-A

propagation of longitudinal zero sound

SS 2024

MVCMP-1





³He–A

in this experiment l is oriented by a small magnetic field 1.8 mT

ullet ϕ is the angle between $oldsymbol{B}$ and $oldsymbol{q}$

wave vector of sound wave

expected anisotropy is clearly observed

Textures:

SS 2024

MVCMP-1

- this term was introduced by de Gennes (similar to liquid crystals)
- denotes orientational effects of l and d
- texture depends on many things: dipole-dipole interaction,

magnetic and electric fields, geometry, ...

often no uniform texture —> texture domains





a) orientation of l, d without external field

SS 2024

MVCMP-1

³He-A: macroscopic orientation dipole-dipole energy is minimal, if $l \parallel d \cong l \perp S$

free energy: dipole-dipole interaction

$$F_{\rm d} = -\frac{3}{5} g_{\rm d}(T) \left[1 - (\widehat{\boldsymbol{d}} \cdot \widehat{\boldsymbol{l}})^2 \right] = -\frac{3}{5} g_{\rm d}(T) \sin^2 \Theta$$

$$\bigvee_{g_{\rm d}} \approx 10^{-10} (1 - T/T_{\rm c}) \,\mathrm{J} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3} \propto \varrho_{\rm s}$$







4.4 Orderparameter Orientation — Textures

SS 2024

MVCMP-1

isotropic regarding spin and orbital momentum ³He-B: no macroscopic orientation but: dipole-dipole interaction leads to a relative orientation of *l*, *d* locally for each point on the Fermi surface described by a rotation about \hat{n} described by $\hat{d} = \hat{\mathbf{R}} (\hat{n}, \Theta) \hat{k}$ leads to weak texture effects 3 ³He-B F_d / g_d free energy: dipole-dipole interaction $\cos^{-1}(-\frac{1}{4})$ $\cos^{-1}(-\frac{1}{4})$ $F_{\rm d} = \frac{8}{5} g_{\rm d}(T) \left(\cos\Theta + \frac{1}{4}\right)^2$ Leggett angle dipole-dipole energy is minimal, if $\Theta = \arccos(-1/4) \approx 104^{\circ}$ 0 $\pi/2$ $3\pi/2$ 2π 0 π Angle Θ n axis B equatorial plane of Fermi sphere in k-space 104° / x 104° d d 104

b) external influences on the orientation of \boldsymbol{l} , \boldsymbol{d}

changes of the texture

textures in ³He-A

SS 2024

MVCMP-1

preferred alignment and relative strength of different influences

	Preferred Alignment	$\Delta E/(1-T/T_{\rm c})~(\mathrm{Jm^{-3}})$
magnetic dipole interaction	$\boldsymbol{d}\parallel\boldsymbol{l}$	$-6 imes 10^{-5}(\widehat{oldsymbol{d}}\cdot\widehat{oldsymbol{l}})^2$
electric field	$l\perp \mathcal{E}$	$2 imes 10^{-7} (\widehat{oldsymbol{l}} \cdot oldsymbol{\mathcal{E}})^2$
magnetic field	$d\perp B$	$5 \ (\widehat{oldsymbol{d}} \cdot oldsymbol{B})^2$
mass flow	$\boldsymbol{l}\parallel\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{s}}$	$-10 \; (\widehat{m{l}} \cdot m{v}_{ m s})^2$
wall al <mark>ig</mark> nment	$l \parallel N$	$-30 \ (\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}} \cdot \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}})^2$

- most important are walls $l \parallel N$ and mass flow $l \parallel v_s$
- strength compared to intrinsic alignment: $\mathcal{E} = 17 \,\mathrm{V \,m^{-1}}, B = 3.3 \,\mathrm{mT} \text{ and } v_{\mathrm{s}} = 2.4 \,\mathrm{mm \, s^{-1}}$
- ► for in homogenies textures → gradient energy must be considered

1117 Commission of the second second



costs energy

Example for influence of wall and magnetic field Determination of ρ_s/ρ with with a disc like resonator

- ϱ_{n} is dragged with resonator because of η_{n}
- mass of ρ_n adds to moment of inertia

SS 2024

MVCMP-1

resonance frequency depends on $\varrho_{\rm n}/\varrho$

 $\rightarrow \varrho_{\rm s}/\varrho$



Andronikasvili-like experiment



4.5 Spin Dynamics – NMR Experiments

- ▶ static field $B_0 \longrightarrow \omega_L = \gamma |B_0|$ Lamor frequency
- rf pulse \longrightarrow tipping of the magnetization $\langle S \rangle$
- ▶ ³He: coupling of S, d $(d \cdot S = 0)$ \longrightarrow additional restoring force

angle between B_0 and S (tipping angle)

SS 2024

MVCMP-1



- without external influences: state of minimal dipole-dipole energy $d \parallel l$
- any deviation from $d \parallel l$ costs energy proportional to $\sin^2(d, l)$
 - resonance frequency increases

Leggett equations:

SS 2024

MVCMP-1

 $\mathbf{R}_{d}(T) = \frac{6}{5} g_{d}(T) (\mathbf{d} \times \mathbf{l}) (\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{l})$ additional restoring force

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{S}}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \gamma \boldsymbol{S} \times \boldsymbol{B}_0 + \boldsymbol{R}_\mathrm{d} \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \boldsymbol{d} \times \gamma \boldsymbol{B}_\mathrm{eff} = \boldsymbol{d} \times \gamma \left(\boldsymbol{B} - \frac{\mu_0 \gamma \boldsymbol{S}}{\chi_\mathrm{N}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

comment:

"Bloch equations" for superfluid ³He-A

all predictions from these equations are precisely observed

transversal resonance

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{rf}}(\omega)$$
 $\mathbf{D} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{B}_0$

small (tipping) angle solution:

$$\omega_{\rm t}^2 = (\gamma B_0)^2 + \frac{\gamma^2 \mu_0 \langle H_{\rm d} \rangle}{\chi_{\rm N}} = \omega_{\rm L}^2 + \Omega_{\rm A}^2(T)$$

spatial mean of dipole-dipole coupling



extended NMR experiments with transvers geometry



SS 2024

MVCMP-1

- resonance frequency increases proportional to Q_s
- temperature dependence of order parameter

tipping angle dependence



- line shows prediction from Leggett equations
- excellent agreement with theory

4.5 Spin Dynamics – NMR Experiments

reduced, since 1/3 in $|\!\downarrow\uparrow\rangle + |\!\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$

in ordinary liquids $~~oldsymbol{R}_{
m d}=0$

first Leggett equation

Longitudinal resonance

SS 2024

MVCMP-1

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{rf}}(\omega) \uparrow \boldsymbol{B}_{0}$$

modulation of static field
$$B_0 \longrightarrow B_z = B_0 + B_{\rm rf}(\omega)$$

oscillation of $d \longrightarrow$ resorting force

because of $(\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{B})_z = 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{d}S_z/\mathrm{d}t = R_{\mathrm{d,z}}$

³He-A:
$$R_{
m d}
eq 0$$
 $|\uparrow\uparrow
angle
eq 0$ $|\downarrow\downarrow
angle$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \Delta S_z = \frac{\chi}{\mu_0 \gamma} \, \Delta B_0 \, \left(1 - \cos \Omega_{\rm A} t \right)$$

³He-B:

$$\longrightarrow \Omega_{\rm B}^2(T) = \Omega_{\rm A}^2(T) \frac{5 \chi_{\rm B}}{2 \chi_{\rm A}} \stackrel{\text{$\widehat{}}}{\longrightarrow} \hat{} = {}^{3}\text{He-N}$$

³He-A₁:

• no effect, since only one spin configuration $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$



macroscopic wave function

SS 2024

MVCMP-1

$$\Psi_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{r}) e^{\mathrm{i}\varphi(\boldsymbol{r})}$$

18 degrees of freedom

i) quantization of circulation



³He behavior is more complicated

³He-A: \longrightarrow circulation is only irrotational under ideal conditions, which means without external influences

$$\longrightarrow \text{ if } \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \neq 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \neq \frac{\hbar}{2m_{3}} \nabla \varphi$$

• in general curl
$$\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{s}} = \frac{\hbar}{2m_3r} \, \widehat{\boldsymbol{l}} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}}{\partial \phi} \times \frac{\partial \widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}}{\partial r} \right)$$

phase can be adjusted by modification of *l* structure of vortices depend on *l*(*r*)



- Vinen-type experiment
- 1 rad/s = 0.16 revolutions /s



experimental problem: rotation at very low temperatures



up to 3 revolutions / s

SS 2024 MVCMP-1

4.6 Macroscopic Quantum Effects





SS 2024







Investigation of vortices in ³He-A with NMR

frequency shift because of localized spin waves in core!

container diameter 2.5 mm





³He-B: only vortices with hard core $\xi_0 \approx 10... 100 \, \mathrm{nm}$

depends on pressure

c) single vortices with A phase in core

d) double vortices with two half-quantum of circulation and normal-fluid core



these vortices exist in distinct parts of the phase diagram

SS 2024 MVCMP-1

³He-B: phase diagram under rotation

spin waves resonances (collision-less)







under rotation → larger spacing because additional term in free energy



³He-B: phase diagram under rotation

SS 2024

MVCMP-1

spin waves resonances (collision-less)





- hysteresis is observed
 - → 1st order transition